

WILLIAM F. KAETZ
#11350-067
354 DONIEROS AVE
NEWARK NJ 07105
ESSEX COUNTY JAIL
(TEMPORARY ADDRESS)

WILLIAM F. KAETZ
v
UNITED STATES ET AL.

United States District Court
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
CASE NO: 19-CV-08100

Judge KEVIN McNULTY

NOTICE OF MOTION
FOR RECONSIDER
DISMISSAL AND
OPEN THE CASE

To:
U.S. ATTORNEY OFFICE
970 Broad Street
Suite 700
NEWARK NJ 07102

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE
PLAINTIFF MOVES FOR THE COURT TO RECONSIDER
DISMISSAL AND OPEN THE CASE PURSUANT TO
FED. R. CIV. P. RULES 59 (E) AND 60 (1) AND (6)
ARISING OUT OF OVERSIGHT OF ISSUES PRESENTED.

Affidavit in Support of Motion

I WILLIAM F. KRETZ, PLAINTIFF IN THE CASE WILLIAM F. KRETZ V. UNITED STATES ET AL, CASE NOS 19-CV-08100, SWEAR UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

- ① My Complaint shows I am suffering Injury in Fact.
- ② My Injury in Fact is Concrete with Specificity is Economic Injury.
- ③ My Injury in Fact is that I have sufficient personal interest I have made known that is concrete that is within the "zone of interests" protected by Constitutional Guarantee that they involve. THE ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 GUARANTEED AND DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS OF MY LIBERTY AND LIBERTY INTERESTS THAT EXIST AS RIGHTS IN THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES THAT HAVE, AND ARE BEING INVADDED BY THE DEFENDANTS AND THAT HAS CAUSED IRREPARABLE INJURY UPON ME. MY RIGHTS ARE BEING THREATENED AND ARE IN IMMINENT DANGER AND THERE IS A CHILLING EFFECT OF FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS..

- ④ I HAVE BEEN A VICTIM OF FIRST AMENDMENT RETALIATION FROM THE UNITED STATES AND CRIMINALIZED FOR EXERCISING MY RIGHTS AND THE CAUSE IS THE INFILTRATION OF COMMUNISM/SOCIALISM IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS THAT CAUSED ME INJURY IN FACT THAT IS CONCRETE, IMMINENT AND THREATENING.
- ⑤ I HAVE BEEN A VICTIM OF FRAUDULENT STUDENT LOANS AND ILLEGAL COLLECTION AFTER BANKRUPTCY THAT CAUSED ME ECONOMIC INJURY CAUSED BY THE MISAPPLICATION OF THE LAW BY THE DEFENDANTS AND THE INFILTRATION OF COMMUNISM/SOCIALISM IN THE FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS THAT IS A VIOLATION OF ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 AND DUE PROCESS AND LOYALTY OATHS OF GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS.
- ⑥ IT IS A FACT COVID-19 IS NOT AS DEADLY AS THE FEAR WINGERING COMMUNIST/SOCIALIST WANT TO MAKE IT. COVID-19 HAS A 96% SURVIVAL RATE. THE CONSTANT MILITARY TYPE OF GOVERNMENT IS NOT A REPUBLIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE GUARANTEE OF ARTICLE IV SECTION 4. FULL LOCKDOWNS, THEN A LITTLE RELIEF, THEN LOCKDOWNS, WHO CAN WORK, WHO CAN'T, IS COMMUNISM CONDITIONING CAUSING ME ECONOMIC INJURY, CONCRETE INJURY TO MY LIBERTY. THE COVID-19 HORROR IS SEDITIONOUS VIOLATES ART. 4 § 4.

- ⑦ SOME OF MY SPECIFIC CONCRETE INJURY ARE WIDELY SHARED, LARGE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SUFFER SAME COMMON LAW INJURY - WIDE SPREAD MASS TORT. THE FACT THAT IT IS WIDELY SHARED GO HAND IN HAND, THEIR ASSOCIATION IS NOT A VARIABLE AND CONCLUSION THAT SUCH INTERESTS, WHERE SUFFICIENTLY CONCRETE, MAY COUNT AS INJURY IN FACT, THIS IS OBVIOUS IN MY CASE. THE "DEADLY DISEASE COVID-19 PANDEMIC" IS A HOAX CAUSING ECONOMIC AND LIBERTY INJURY UPON ME AND EVERYONE, CAUSED BY THE INFILTRATION OF COMMUNISM/SOCIALISM IN FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS AND THAT VIOLATES CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND GUARANTEES.
- ⑧ MY COMPLAINT FULLFILLS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARTICLE III AND I HAVE STANDING TO BRING ACTION IN THE FEDERAL COURT.
- ⑨ ALL THE FACTS ABOVE HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO THIS COURT IN MY COMPLAINTS AND AMENDED COMPLAINTS, AND MY RE-AMENDED COMPLAINTS.
- ⑩ THE FACT OF HAVING A JUDGE WITH ACTUAL AND AN APPEARANCE OF BIAS ON ALL MY CASES IS AN INJURY OF FACT THAT INVADDED MY LIBERTY. (SEE KAETZ V. ECMC ET AL, AND, KAETZ V. MORRIS COUNTY...)
- DATE: 12/26/2020 By: William F. Kaetz
WILLIAM F. KAETZ

IRREPARABLE INJURY

THE IRREPARABLE INJURY TO ME, WILLIAM KAEZ, IS THE LOSS OF MY RELATIONSHIP WITH MY FIANCE, MY COMPANIONSHIP IN MY ELDER YEARS, MY LOSS OF MY RELATIONSHIPS WITH MY CHILDREN, FAMILY AND FRIENDS. DEFAMATION OF MY NAME AND CHARACTER. MY LOSS OF MY REPUTATION, MY COMPANY AND BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS, LOSS OF MY GOOD CREDIT, MY LOSS OF INCOME, MY LOSS OF MY TOOLS AND VEHICLE TO MAKE A LIVING, THE LOSS OF STABILITY IN LIFE IN GENERAL, THE LOSS OF PEACE OF MIND FROM THE STIGMA OF THE GOVERNMENT'S FIRST AMENDMENT RETALIATION FALSELY IMPRISONING ME AND EFFECTING EVERY ASPECT OF MY LIFE AND THE LIVES OF OTHERS THAT WILL CONTINUE FOR A LONG TIME. THE LONG TERM INDEBTEDNESS BECAUSE OF MISAPPLICATION OF BANKRUPTCY LAWS AND FALSE STUDENT LOANS FALSELY APPROVED BY GOVERNMENT SOCIALISTS. THE LOSS OF SOCIAL EVENTS AND LOCATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHERE I WAS A PART OF ARE NON EXISTANT, MY GYM CLOSED, OUT OF BUSINESS, MY HEALTH, PHYSICAL AND MENTALLY, IS INJURED DUE TO THE COVID-19 HOAX THAT IS BEING RUN BY COMMUNIST/SOCIALIST IN GOVERNMENT ILLEGALLY. THESE IRREPARABLE INJURIES ARE CONCRETE INJURY OF FACT FOR COURT JURISDICTION UNDER ARTICLE 14 AND ARE INVASIONS OF MY LIBERTY.

ARGUMENT IN Support of Motion
TO RECONSIDER ORDER OF DISMISSAL
AND REOPEN THE CASE

I, WILLIAM F. KETZ, HAVE ALL THE ELEMENTS IN MY FILINGS TO THIS COURT THAT FULLFILLS ARTICLE III REQUIREMENTS TO HAVE STANDING TO BRING ACTION IN THE FEDERAL COURT.

THE SUPPORTING COURT CASES FOLLOWING THIS INTRODUCTION EXPLAIN MY REASONING AS TO WHY THIS COURT'S DISMISSAL OF THE CASE SHOULD BE RECONSIDERED AND REVERSED AND THE CASE OPEN FOR ARGUMENT BECAUSE OF OVERSIGHT OF THE FULLFILLING ELEMENTS IN MY COMPLAINTS THE FEDERAL COURTS ALREADY RECOGNIZED AS CONCRETE INJURY IN FACT WHICH IS INVASION OF LEGALLY PROTECTED INTERESTS THAT IS CONCRETE AND PARTICULARIZED AND ACTUAL AND IMMINENT THAT IS TRACEABLE TO THE DEFENDANTS CONDUCT AND THAT FAVORABLE RULING FROM THE COURT WOULD REDRESS MY INJURY. FIRST, I HAD AND STILL HAVE ECONOMIC INJURY. "ECONOMIC INJURY, EVEN IF OF INDIRECT NATURE, WILL ESTABLISH SUFFICIENT CONCRETE ADVERSENESS TO MEET ARTICLE III "CASE OR CONTROVERSY" TEST" FRIEDMAN V. HAROLD 638 F. 2d 262 1981 U.S. LEXIS 21048 (1st Cir 1981)

"TO CONSTITUTE CASE OR CONTROVERSY, (1) DECLARATION ON RIGHTS AS THEY STAND MUST BE SOUGHT, NOT ON RIGHTS WHICH MAY ARISE IN FUTURE, (2) THERE MUST BE ACTUAL CONTROVERSY OVER ISSUE, NOT DESIRE FOR ABSTRACT DECLARATION OF LAW, AND (3) FORM OF PROCEEDING IS NOT SIGNIFICANT; IT IS NATURE AND EFFECT WHICH IS CONTROLLING." IN RE SUMMERS, 325 U.S. 561 S. CT 1307 89 L. ED 1795, 1945 U.S. LEXIS 1942, REH'G DENIED, 326 U.S. 807, 66 S. CT 96 90 L. ED 491 1945 U.S. LEXIS 1604 (1945)

"TO ESTABLISH STANDING, ALLEGED INJURY MUST BE LEGALLY AND JUDICIALLY COGNIZABLE, WHICH REQUIRES AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT (1) PLAINTIFF HAS SUFFERED INVASION OF LEGALLY PROTECTED INTERESTS WHICH IS CONCRETE AND PARTICULARIZED, AND (2) DISPUTE IS OF TYPE TRADITIONALLY THOUGHT TO BE CAPABLE OF RESOLUTION THROUGH JUDICIAL PROCESS" RAINES V. BYRD, 521 U.S. 811, 117 S. CT 2312 138 L. ED. 2D 849, 11 FLA. L. WEEKLY FED. S 182, 97 CAL DAILY OP. SERVICE 4991 97 D.A.R. 8177 (1997)

"WITH RESPECT TO ARTICLE III REQUIREMENT THAT IN ORDER TO HAVE STANDING TO BRING ACTION IN FEDERAL COURT PARTY MUST SHOW THAT PARTY HAS SUFFERED INJURY IN FACT, FACT THAT POLITICAL FORUM MAY BE MORE READILY AVAILABLE WHERE INJURY IS WIDELY SHARED, WHILE COUNSELING AGAINST, FOR EXAMPLE INTERPRETING STATUTE AS CONFERRING STANDING, DOES NOT, BY ITSELF, AUTOMATICALLY DISQUALIFY INTEREST FOR ARTICLE III PURPOSES; ALTHOUGH OFTEN FACT INTERESTS IS ABSTRACT - WHICH FACT UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HAS HELD TO DEPRIVE CASE OF CONCRETE SPECIFICITY REQUIRED FOR STANDING UNDER ARTICLE III - AND FACT THAT IT IS WIDELY SHARED GO HAND IN HAND, THEIR ASSOCIATION IS NOT INVARIABLE, AND CONCLUSION THAT SUCH INTERESTS, WHERE SUFFICIENTLY CONCRETE, MAY COUNT AS INJURY IN FACT SEEMS PARTICULARLY OBVIOUS WHERE, TO USE HYPOTHETICAL EXAMPLE, LARGE NUMBERS OF INDIVIDUALS SUFFER SAME COMMON-LAW INJURY - FOR INSTANCE, WIDE SPREAD MASS TORT - OR LARGE NUMBERS OF VOTERS. SUFFER INTERFERENCE WITH VOTING RIGHTS CONFERRED BY LAW." FEC V. AKINS, 524 U.S. 11, 118 S. CT 1777, 141 L. ED. 2d 10, 11 FLA. L WEEKLY FED. S. 581, 98 CAL. DAILY OP. SERVICE 4092,

1998 Colo. J. C.A.R. 2743, 98 QAR, 5537
1995 U.S. LEXIS 3567, REMANDED, 146 F.3d
1049, 331 U.S. App. D.C. 108, 1995 U.S. App.
LEXIS 15222 (D.C. Cir 1995)

"IN ORDER TO HAVE STANDING TO SUE, PLAINTIFFS MUST HAVE SUFFICIENT PERSONAL INTEREST TO IMPART CONCRETE ADVERSITY REQUIRED FOR ARTICLE III CASE OR CONTROVERSY. AND MUST BE WITHIN "ZONE OF INTERESTS" PROTECTED BY CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE THAT THEY INVOKE."
WHITELY V. WILSON CITY Bd. OF EDUCATION, 427
F.2d 179 1970 U.S. App. LEXIS 9050 (4TH Cir 1970)
APP. AFTER REMAND, 457 F.2d 940 1972 U.S. App
LEXIS 10594 (4TH Cir 1972)

I, WILLIAM F. KRETZ, HAVE ALL THE ELEMENTS IN MY FILINGS TO THIS COURT THAT FULFILL ARTICLE III REQUIREMENTS TO HAVE STANDING TO BRING THIS ACTION IN THE FEDERAL COURT.

THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION ABOUT "ADVOCATING" "ELEMENTS OF OATH OF OFFICE AND LOYALTY" AND "SUPREME COURT VIEWS ON ARTICLE IV SECTION 4" FURTHER SUPPORT MY STANDING TO SUE AND MY INJURY IN FACT.

ADVOCATING

YATES V. UNITED STATES 354 U.S. 298 (1957) RULED THAT SPEECH ADVOCATING THE FORCIBLE OVERTHROW OF THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT PROSECUTABLE UNLESS IT IS TIED TO OVERT ACTS. THE OPINION MODIFIED THAT OF DEAN V. UNITED STATES, 341 U.S. 474 (1951) WHICH WAS LARGELY A RESTATEMENT OF THE FAMOUS OPINION OF JUSTICE OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES JR., REGARDING THE "CLEAR AND PRESENT" DANGER TEST IN SCHENCK V. UNITED STATES. IN THE 1940'S AND 1950'S THESE TWO EARLIER DECISIONS WERE OFTEN CITED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SMITH ACT (1940) AND THE INTERNAL SECURITY (MC CARRAN) ACT (1950) IN CASES INVOLVING SO-CALLED UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES ON THE PART OF PRESUMED COMMUNIST ORGANIZATIONS. IN YATES, HOWEVER, THE CLEAR-AND-PRESENT-DANGER DOCTRINE UNDERWENT REVISION SUCH THAT NO SEDITION OF SUBVERSIVE SPEECH COULD BE PUNISHED UNLESS IT CONSTITUTED AN INCITEMENT TO IMMEDIATE UNLAWFUL ACTION AND THE INCITEMENT WAS LIKELY TO PRODUCE, IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES, SUCH ACTION.

I HAVE STATED BLACK LIVES MATTER WITH GOVERNMENT COMMUNIST/SOCIALIST SUPPORT DID IN FACT CAUSE OVERT ACTS AND SEDITION INCITEMENT AND UNLAWFUL ACTION, RIOTS AND CIVIL UNREST THAT IS AN IMMINENT THREAT TO ~~my~~ LIBERTY AND ECONOMICS.

10 OF 20

ELEMENTS OF OATH OF OFFICE AND LOYALTY

TITLE 5 USCS SECTION 7311 DEALS WITH GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT LIMITATIONS, LOYALTY AND STRIKING. 5 USCS SECTION 7311 (1) AND (2) ARE RELEVANT TO THIS CASE. 5 USCS SECTION 7311 READS:

"AN INDIVIDUAL MAY NOT HOLD A POSITION IN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA IF HE:

① ADVOCATES THE OVERTHROW OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT

② IS A MEMBER OF AN ORGANIZATION THAT HE KNOWS ADVOCATES THE OVERTHROW OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FORM OF GOVERNMENT"

PENALTIES FOR DISLOYALTY AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT IS 18 USC SECTION 1918:

"WHOEVER VIOLATES THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 7311 OF TITLE 5 THAT INDIVIDUAL MAY NOT ACCEPT OR HOLD A POSITION IN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OR THE GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA IF HE:

① ADVOCATES THE OVERTHROW OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

② IS A MEMBER OF AN ORGANIZATION THAT HE KNOWS ADVOCATES THE OVERTHROW OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

④ ... SHALL BE FINED UNDER THIS TITLE OR
IMPRISONMENT NOT MORE THAN ONE
YEAR AND A DAY, OR BOTH."

FOLLOWING THE WORD "ADVOCATES" LEGAL MEANING
LEADS TO THE DEFINITION OF "FASCIST,"

"ONE WHO ADVOCATES, OR IS A MEMBER
OF AN ORGANIZATION WHICH ADVOCATES,
THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TOTALITARIAN
DICTATORSHIP."

FOLLOWING THE WORD "TOTALITARIAN" LEADS
TO THE DEFINITION OF "SOCIALISM":

A MUCH ABUSED TERM CALLING FOR AN
ECONOMIC SYSTEM CALLING FOR INCREASED
PARTICIPATION BY THE GOVERNMENT IN
THE AFFAIRS OF THE PEOPLE, MEANING
PUBLIC OWNERSHIP AND OPERATION OF
PUBLIC UTILITIES, THE ABSORPTION OF
INDUSTRIAL PLANTS BY THE GOVERNMENT,
LOOKING TOWARD A DAY WHEN PRIVATE
OWNERSHIP OF PROPERTY MIGHT BE
ELIMINATED, EXCEPT FOR THE MOST PERSONAL
THINGS; APPLIED IN MORE RECENT TIMES
AS A CLOAK FOR TOTALITARIAN
GOVERNMENTS AND DICTATORSHIPS.

(SEE COMMUNISM) (BALLETINE'S LAW DICTIONARY)

THE word "Communism" LEADS TO THE "LOYALTY OATH" THAT MEANS:

"REQUIRED OF A PUBLIC OFFICER or EMPLOYEE AS A CONDITION OF EMPLOYMENT, BY WHICH THE AFFIANT NOT ONLY PLEDGES HIMSELF IN THE CUSTOMARY FORM TO SUPPORT THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND OF HIS PARTICULAR STATE BUT ALSO AFFIRMS THAT HE IS NOT A MEMBER OF CERTAIN DESIGNATED ORGANIZATIONS, SUCH AS THE COMMUNIST PARTY, OR OF ANY ORGANIZATION BELIEVING IN OR ADVOCATING THE DOCTRINE OF THE OVERTHROW OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES BY FORCE OR ANY UNLAWFUL MEANS, AND THAT HE DOES NOT BELIEVE IN OR ADVOCATES SUCH DOCTRINE." 15 AM JAC COLLEGES § 12 (BALLETINE'S LAW DICTIONARY)

LOYALTY TO THE CONSTITUTION INCLUDES A "REPUBLIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT"

- ① A GOVERNMENT CONSTRUCTED ON THE PRINCIPLE THAT THE SUPREME POWER RESIDES IN THE BODY OF THE PEOPLE. SEE CHISHOLM V. GEORGIA (U.S.) DALL 419, 457, 1L ED 440, 456. A GOVERNMENT WHICH DENIES ALL ITS POWER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM THE PEOPLE

And WHICH IS ADMINISTERED BY PERSONS HOLDING THEIR OFFICES FOR A LIMITED PERIOD OR DURING GOOD BEHAVIOR. 16 AM J 2d CONST. L § 390. NOT TO BE CONFUSED WITH THE REPUBLIC PARTY (BALLETINE'S LAW DICTIONARY)"

② WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 4 OF ARTICLE 4 OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT "THE UNITED STATES SHALL GUARANTEE TO EVERY STATE IN THIS UNION A REPUBLIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT," THE TERM SIGNIFIES A GOVERNMENT OF A STATE OF THE UNION WHICH HAS BEEN RECOGNIZED BY CONGRESS AS BEING A GOVERNMENT REPUBLICAN IN FORM, AUTHORITY: PACIFIC STATES TELE CO. V. OREGON 223 U.S. 118 56 L Ed 377, 32 S CT 224."

REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT AND THE AMENDMENTS OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES COMPARED TO SOCIALISM/ COMMUNISM IS LIKE NIGHT AND DAY. THE PUSH FOR SOCIALISM AND FASCIST BEHAVIOR IS A VIOLATION OF THE LOYALTY OATH, THE OATH OF OFFICE, AND TO TREAT ELECTED AND APPOINTED OFFICIALS AS SUPREME DICTATORS IS A VIOLATION OF ART 4 SECTION 4 OF THE CONSTITUTION AND OATH OF OFFICE.

SUPREME COURT VIEWS ON ARTICLE IV SECTION 4

UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE IV SECTION 4

"THE UNITED STATES SHALL GUARANTEE TO EVERY STATE IN THIS UNION A REPUBLIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT, AND SHALL PROTECT EACH OF THEM AGAINST INVASION, AND ON APPLICATION OF LEGISLATURE, OR OF THE EXECUTIVE (WHEN THE LEGISLATURE CANNOT BE CONVENED) AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE."

SUPREME COURT'S CONSTRUCTION AND APPLICATION OF GUARANTEE CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 OF FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, PROVIDING THAT UNITED STATES WILL GUARANTEE STATES REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT. 120 L. ED 2d 957
AUTHOR: DAVID B. SWEET, J.D.

THE SUPREME COURT HAS MADE A NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS AND DETERMINATIONS AS TO THE GENERAL MEANING OF THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION, FOR EXAMPLE:

- ① IN THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE, THE TERM "STATE" APPEARS TO BE USED IN THE SENSE OF A PEOPLE OR POLITICAL COMMUNITY, AS DISTINGUISHED FROM A GOVERNMENT.

- ② THE AUTHORITY OF THE PEOPLE OF A STATE TO DETERMINE THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THEIR MOST IMPORTANT GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IS A POWER GUARANTEED BY THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE.

- DUTY -

- ③ THE GUARANTEE NECESSARILY IMPLIED A DUTY ON THE STATES THEMSELVES TO PROVIDE A REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT.

- RESTRICTION -

- ④ THE SUPREME COURT OBSERVED IN INS RE DUNAN (1891) 139 U.S. 449 35 L. ED. 219 11 S. CT 573, THE COURT NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT WHILE THE PEOPLE ARE THUS THE SOURCE OF POLITICAL POWER THEIR GOVERNMENTS, NATIONAL AND STATE, HAVE BEEN LIMITED BY WRITTEN CONSTITUTIONS, AND THE PEOPLE HAVE THEMSELVES THEREBY SET BOUNDS TO THEIR OWN POWER, AS AGAINST THE SUDDEN IMPULSES OF WERE MAJORITIES.

- DUTY -

- ⑤ THE SUPREME COURT HELD IN COYLE V. SMITH (1911) 221 U.S. 559 55 L. ED. 853 31 S. CT 688, THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE IMPOSES ON CONGRESS THE DUTY OF SEEING THAT SUCH FORM IS NOT CHANGED TO AN ANTI-REPUBLICAN FORM...

- REQUIREMENT -

⑥ THE Supreme Court in Gregory V. Ashcroft, Supra, REferred To Sugarman V. Dougall (1973) 413 U.S. 634 37 L. Ed 853 93 S Ct 2842, Generally involving a provision OTHER THAN THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE, WHERE ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 HAS BEEN CITED AS ONE OF THE SUPPORTS FOR THE SUGARMAN COURT'S RECOGNITION OF A STATE'S CONSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ① THE ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF THE STATE'S OWN GOVERNMENT, AND ② THE QUALIFICATIONS OF AN APPROPRIATELY DESIGNATED CLASS OF PUBLIC OFFICE HOLDERS.

- RESTRICTION -

⑦ THE Supreme Court HAS APPARANTLY ① RECOGNIZED THAT IN GENERAL, A PERMANENT STATE OF MILITARY GOVERNMENT WOULD NOT BE A REPUBLIC FORM OF GOVERNMENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE GUARANTEE CLAUSE OF ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION.

- MAINTENANCE -

⑧ HARRISIADES V. SHAUGHNESSY (1952) 342 U.S. 580 96 L Ed 586 72 S Ct 512... THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT DID NOT PROHIBIT THE UNITED STATES FROM DEPORTING LEGALLY RESIDENT ALIENS DUE TO

I ALSO WAS PUT INTO DEBT AND DEPRIVED OF BANKRUPTCY'S "NEW START" BY THE DEFENDANTS AND Judge Cecchi's MISAPPLICATION OF THE LAW AND BIAS THAT CAUSED PREJUDICE INJURY UPON ME. DEMOCRAT SOCIALISM IS STILL COMMUNISM. THERE ARE COMMUNISTS IN GOVERNMENT INJURING MY LIBERTY.

RELIEF SOUGHT: I, WILLIAM F. KAETZ, PRAY TO THIS COURT TO RECONSIDER THE DISMISSAL OF THIS CASE AND REVERSE THE DECISION AND OPEN THE CASE TO ARGUMENT.

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE: I CERTIFY I MAILED A COPY OF THIS MOTION TO RECONSIDER DISMISSAL AND OPEN THE CASE TO:

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
970 BROAD STREET
SUITE 700
NEWARK NJ 07102

DATE: 12/26/2020

By: William F. Kaetz
WILLIAM F. KAETZ

(ORIGINAL ADDRESS)

437 ABBOTT RD
PATERSON NJ 07652

#11350-067

ESSEX COUNTY JAIL
354 DENTENUS AVE
NEWARK NJ 07102
(TEMPORARY ADDRESS)

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS AMENDMENT TO NATION

THE CONFLICT OF INTERESTS AT ISSUE HERE IS BETWEEN DUTIES TO HONESTLY SERVE WITHOUT CORRUPT PREFERENCE, ALL CITIZENS ON ONE HAND, AND PAID-FOR INTERESTS TO LOBBY AND SUPPORT LEGISLATION TO INCREASE PUBLIC FINANCING THAT INDIRECTLY IN RICHES THEMSELVES AND FRIENDS AND CHANGES THE FORM OF GOVERNMENT ON THE OTHER. PUBLIC OFFICE IS A PUBLIC TRUST. PUBLIC OFFICIALS MUST THEREFORE AVOID CONDUCT WHICH IS IN VIOLATION OF THEIR PUBLIC TRUST. THAT PUBLIC TRUST IS THE LOYALTY OATH OF OFFICE TO SUPPORT AND DEFEND THE CONSTITUTION THAT INCLUDES INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS AND THE ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 GUARANTEE. BY REMOVING AND REDUCING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WITH STATE OF EMERGENCY EXECUTIVE ORDERS BASED ON FRAUDULANT INFORMATION THAT CREATES A STATE OF MILITARY GOVERNMENT THAT VIOLATES ARTICLE IV SECTION 4 AND THE OATH OF OFFICE TO CREATE COMMUNISM/SOCIALISM/DICTATORSHIP/TOTALITARIAN TYPE OF GOVERNMENT, FORCING MASKS IS A PSYCHOLOGICAL WAY TO MAKE PEOPLE SUBMISSIVE, IT IS PHYSICALLY HARMFUL TO BREATHE BAD AIR AND GERMS BACK IN THAT COLLECT IN SIDE THE MASK AND COVID-19 AS A VIRUS CAN GO THROUGH THE MASKS. OBVIOUSLY THE PANDEMIC NOX IS TO MAKE PEOPLE SUBMISSIVE AND TRANSFORM THE FORM OF GOVERNMENT TO A TOTALITARIAN TYPE OF GOVERNMENT. THE HARM TO ME IS THE LOSS OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERTY. MONETARY AND OTHER RELIEF IS TO BUY MY LIBERTY AND STOP THIS TRANSFORMATION.

AMENDMENT 1 OF 1